

REMARKS

The Amendments

The amendments do not narrow the broadest scope of the claims and/or are not made for purposes of patentability.

It is submitted that the above amendments would put the application in condition for allowance or materially reduce or simplify the issues for appeal. The amendments do not raise new issues or present new matter and do not present additional claims. The amendments have been made to address the minor objections of a clerical nature in the specification and claims 27 and 45. Further, the sole claim rejected on a substantive basis is canceled. The claims are now directed to the subject matter indicated in the Office Action to be allowable. Accordingly, it is submitted that the requested amendments should be entered.

Applicants reserve the right to file one or more continuing and/or divisional applications directed to any subject matter disclosed in the application which has been canceled by any of the above amendments.

The Objection to the Specification

The objection to the specification is rendered moot by the addition of the Brief Description of Drawings.

The Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 is rendered moot by the amendments to claims 27 and 45 correcting the errors noted therein.

The Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103

The rejection of claim 44 under 35 U.S.C. §103 is rendered moot by cancellation of the claim.

It is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. But the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned to discuss any unresolved matters.

Respectfully submitted,

/John A. Sopp/
John A. Sopp, Reg. No. 33,103
Attorney for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO
& BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Telephone: (703) 243-6333
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: MERCK-3035

Date: July 11, 2007

JAS/cak